

PHYSICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
Held via Audio Webinar Pursuant to Temporary Emergency Orders
CARMEL, NEW YORK 10512
(Chairman Albano, Legislators Gouldman & Nacerino)

Tuesday

6:00PM

July 21, 2020

The meeting was called to order at 6:00p.m. by Chairman Albano who lead in the Pledge of Allegiance. Upon roll call, Legislators Gouldman, Nacerino and Chairman Albano were present.

Item #3 – Approval/ Physical Services Meeting Minutes/ June 22, 2020

Chairman Albano stated the minutes were accepted as submitted.

Item #4 - Discussion/ Approval/ 2020 Agricultural Inclusion Recommendations – Pro Brothers Farm, Town of Southeast/ Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board, Liaison Lauri Taylor (Tabled from July 1, 2020 Full Meeting)

Legislator Nacerino stated she was thankful to Chairman Albano for placing this matter on the agenda as she believes it warrants further discussion in light of the recent activity and circumstances that have taken place. She requested that she be allowed to read a prepared statement into the record that is lengthy.

Chairman Albano stated he would first like to make a motion to accept three (3) additional items that have been submitted regarding this matter. 1st Correspondence from Cornell Cooperative, 2nd from Memo from Legislature Chairwoman Addonizio to County Executive Odell, 3rd Correspondence from the Town of Southeast.

Chairman Albano made a motion to waive the rules and accept the additional; Seconded by Legislator Nacerino. All in favor.

Legislator Nacerino began reading from her statement. She began by providing background information regarding Pro Brothers Farm consideration for inclusion into the Putnam County Agricultural District (PCAD). She stated at the Physical Services meeting on June 22 the “2020 Agricultural Inclusion Recommendations” from the Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board (AFPB) was on the agenda for consideration for approval. She stated out of the 3 applicants, the only applicant not recommended for inclusion into the PCAD by the AFPB was the parcel in Putnam Valley, Valley View Farm-Cimarron Ranch. She stated the decision was substantiated by Lauri Taylor, Putnam County Liaison to the AFPB and Putnam Valley Town Supervisor Sam Oliverio who both addressed the Committee via the Audio Webinar. She stated the merits of the remaining two (2) applicants: Horsemen’s Trail Farm in Town of Philipstown and Pro Brothers Farm in the Town of Southeast were met with no dissenting opinions voiced.

She stated the Physical Services Committee Members, Legislators Gouldman, Nacerino and Chair of the Committee Legislator Albano, unanimously approved the recommendations of the AFPB. She stated the next step of this annual consideration of approval by the Legislature was the Public Hearing -Inclusion in the Agricultural District, which was held on July 1, 2020, via Audio Webinar. She stated members of the Public did request to speak and any correspondence that they wanted to submit was sent to the Legislature Office for said meeting. She stated they were read by Chairwoman Addonizio. She stated the next step was for the final consideration for approval of the recommendation that was approved by the Physical Services Committee at the June 22nd meeting. She reiterated that the final consideration at the July 7, 2020 Full Meeting was to approve the inclusion, as recommended by the AFPB, into the PCAD the two (2) applicants: Horsemen's Trail Farm in Town of Philipstown and Pro Brothers Farm in the Town of Southeast. She stated it was at that meeting that Legislator Jonke made a motion to split the vote on the two (2) parcels. She stated Legislator Jonke made the motion to table the consideration of the Pro Brothers Farm to the August meeting. She stated the actions taken by Legislator Jonke were legitimate. She stated she has concern for what led up to the action. She stated that she has the utmost respect for the members of the AFPB and is proud to be the Legislative Representative to said Board. She stated the members of the AFPB work to protect the good Earth and the precious environment. She stated site walks are conducted for every parcel of which an application is made. She stated approval is in accordance with the New York State Agriculture Market Laws as well as local laws and regulation. She stated municipalities are apprised of considerations proposed well in advance. She stated on May 18, 2020 the Town of Southeast Supervisor and the Building Inspector received notification regarding Pro Brothers Farm 2020 PCAD Application. She stated neither the AFPB or the Legislature received any feedback from the Town of Southeast. She stated she was surprised that a letter was received by the Legislature from Town of Southeast Supervisor Tony Hay just prior to the July 1st Public Hearing. She stated Supervisor Hay did not join the Webinar, as Town of Putnam Valley Supervisor Oliverio did. She stated instead he requested his letter be read into the record. She stated Supervisor Hay served 19 years on the Putnam County Legislature Board and is aware of the process that the vetting of proposals take place during the Committee Meetings. She stated the letter received from Supervisor Hay listed many issues that are disputable and have been disputed by Liaison Taylor. She stated that she is concerned about the comment made by Supervisor Hay in regard to permitting smaller parcels into the PCAD. She stated more people today want fresh grown farm products. She stated the large farms are becoming increasingly unsustainable. She stated small scale agriculture and Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) are very much in demand. She stated in her opinion the Pro Brothers Farm neighbor who submitted a letter to the Legislature on June 30, 2020, Mr. John Dunford, former Supervisor of the Town of Southeast, listed concerns that she finds to be questionable and more personal in nature than fact based. She stated Mr. Dunford's concerns were addressed in a response from Pro Brothers Farm and Liaison Taylor. She stated she believes politics has infiltrated, and she believes the Legislature should prevent that from occurring. She stated the Legislature found

out that on July 1, 2020 the Town of Southeast Building Inspector was issuing a violation at Pro Brothers Farm, moments before the Public Hearing. She stated in April when the AFPB evaluated the consideration of the Pro Brothers Farm, there were no violations. She stated she believes this is a very slippery slope. She stated they have a process and timeline that they adhere to. She believes for the Legislature to allow Towns to act in the final hour by jamming up owners with violations offers no recourse to the property owners, the AFPB or this Legislative Body. She stated small farms are here to stay, which is also stated in a letter submitted by Jennifer Lerner, Senior Resource Educator for the Cornell Cooperative Extension of Putnam County. She read an excerpt from said letter. She stated Pro Brothers met all of the requirements and standards imposed, she supports their inclusion in the PCAD.

Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board, Liaison Lauri Taylor provided a broad brush of the Agriculture & Markets Law 25- AA - Agricultural District. She stated in summary it is declared by policy of the State to preserve, protect and encourage the development and improvement of its agricultural land and production of food and other agricultural products. She continued to read from the Agriculture & Markets Law. She stated the Putnam County Legislature formed the Agriculture and Farmland and Protection Board in 1997 and the Agricultural District in 2003 and supported the Keep Putnam Farming initiative in 2014. She stated across the State of New York most of the farms are being established by younger individuals and are found on smaller acreages. She stated if successful, like any business, they grow over time.

Chairman Albano stated the Chairwoman of the Legislature Toni Addonizio sent a correspondence to the County Executive requesting that Liaison Taylor address five (5) questions. He requested that she do so.

Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board, Liaison Lauri Taylor stated she will address them:

- Are the operations of a farm that is allowed in the Agricultural District limited in the operations described in the application?

Liaison Taylor stated they are not limited to what their enrollment application has on it. She stated a farm can continue to grow and change to allow the farm to continue to be sustainable.

- When a property is part of the Agricultural District, are there any provisions that would limit and/or allow for certain types of animals to be raised on the property?

Liaison Taylor stated local zoning would still applies to land that is in the Agricultural District. She stated a 305-A review can be requested of the NYS Agriculture Review Board by the landowner if they believe the Town is being too restrictive. She stated the NYS Agriculture

Review Board will only assist a farm when it involves an approved agricultural practice. She stated they will review and determine if the Town is being too restrictive.

- Would the size of the property determine what types of animals would be allowed or prohibited?
- If certain animals are allowed, would the size of the property determine the number of animals permitted?
-

Liaison Taylor stated she will address these two (2) questions relating to animals at the same time. The acreage, the management of the animals along with the zoning regulations in the Town would determine whether or not animals would be permitted and the type of animal and how many would be permitted.

- Pertaining to what animals may be allowed or prohibited, would there be any difference if the property owner was in the Agricultural District or not?

Liaison Taylor stated the answer is no to the above. She stated that is all up to the local zoning regulations.

Legislator Nacerino questioned if Liaison Taylor would speak to the intent of the application. She stated that she believes there is confusion that once an application is approved it is carte blanche for anything to be done within an Agricultural District.

Liaison Taylor stated that local zoning would still apply to the landowner. She stated they have to follow local zoning. She stated if for some reason there is a determination that it is too restrictive it can be reviewed by Agriculture & Markets State Board. She stated it must be an approved agricultural practice. She stated for clarification that if the matter has nothing to do with farming the Agriculture & Markets State Board will have no say whatsoever.

Chairman Albano questioned if the local town zoning would apply to buildings as well.

Liaison Taylor stated that is correct. She stated on this particular farm she is aware that there are questions about the Hoop House/ Greenhouse that she will address. She stated this Hoop House/ Greenhouse was mentioned in Supervisor Hay's letter. She stated she would next address some of the matters listed in his letter. She stated the purpose of the Agricultural District is to support, encourage and improve the agricultural industry in Putnam County by offering an annual inclusion. She stated Christian Provetto, owner of the Pro Brothers Farm, informed her that he went before the Town of Southeast Planning Board work session and was told he did not need a permit. She stated possibly there was confusion to him as to whether he would need any other

permit. She stated she it is not clear to her how it was made perfectly clear to Mr. Provetto. She repeated that Mr. Provetto informed her that he was told he would not need a permit, possibly it was confusing to him as to whether or not he would need another permit or not. She stated the Pro Brothers farm, if approved into the PCAD will still be required to follow local zoning regulations. She stated the Pro Brothers farm soil is 100% “farmland soils”. She stated the requirement is that 50% of the parcel to have “farmland soils”. She stated a shared driveway is not a factor for this particular farm as people are not coming to the farm to pick up their produce. She stated the Farmer delivers the shares of the produce to the shareholders. She stated that there may be deliveries to the farm, but no different than any homeowner who receives deliveries. She stated the last item she will address is the Greenhouse/ Hoop House. She stated a Hoop House’s setbacks are controlled by zoning. She stated if a Hoop House is used for growing they are not considered buildings they are “equipment” under the Executive Law. She stated a Greenhouse is classified under the New York State Executive Law as equipment not a structure. She stated they are permitted on both farms and non-farms and are treated the same under State Law. She explained a Town would have to specifically mention that a Greenhouse was a structure in order to consider it as such.

Legislator Nacerino stated the backup to Supervisor Hay’s letter is an email under EXHIBIT (1) dated April 12, 2019 to the Town of Southeast Building Inspector Michael Levine from Chris Provetto of Pro Brothers Farm stating his plans, “to start a small home occupation growing produce and selling it off site to retail businesses. There will be no produce for sale on site”. She stated it was clear of the intention of Mr. Provetto in terms of the business he is working to establish.

Legislator Montgomery requested clarification that a permit was not necessary for the Hoop House.

Liaison Taylor stated that would be her interpretation, but that would be something the Town would need to address. She stated according to the Agriculture and Markets, if the owner is growing in it, it is considered farm equipment, and not a structure.

Legislator Montgomery stated she is trying to gather all of the information on all sides. She stated she thought any property new to the district with a parcel less than seven (7) acres of land had to produce a minimum of \$50,000 annually to be included in the District.

Liaison Taylor stated that that is a different program that has that requirement. She stated the Agriculture Value Assessment Program, which gives the owner a tax break, requires that.

Legislator Sayegh stated she understands that the Agriculture Law states it is equipment. She stated she read the Town of Southeast Code which states a Greenhouse has to have a permit. She questioned if that is a true statement and if it is was that conveyed to Pro Brothers Farm.

Liaison Taylor stated if the Town's zoning specifically states a Greenhouse needs a permit, then it does need a permit. She stated she is not sure that was clearly communicated to the landowner. She explained where she believes the confusion came in was when the Town of Southeast Building Inspector told Mr. Provetto that he needed a permit, but needed to go in front of the Planning Board Work Session, which he did and was told that he did not need the permit. She stated that she can see where all of the confusion could occur.

Legislator Nacerino stated looking at the timeline of Town's actions and correspondence, she believes the Town carries the onus of the responsibility for miscommunications. She stated she believes Mr. Provetto for Pro Brothers Farm acted in good faith. She stated the Town was aware of Mr. Provetto's intention from 2019. She stated the Town never went to the property, which is routinely done. She stated the Town was given ample notice and had ample time, however the Town waited until the 11th hour to react. She stated she is appalled that the Legislature would consider something under these circumstances.

Legislator Addonizio stated her appreciation to Liaison Taylor for addressing the questions that she presented in her memo.

Legislator Jonke stated he has several things he would like to address. He stated he never questioned the integrity of the Agricultural Board. He stated the AFPB is made up of volunteers, of whom he is very thankful to for their service to the County of Putnam. He stated they make a recommendation to the Legislature. He stated when the Town was asked if there were any violations on this property, we were and still are in the middle of a Coronavirus pandemic. He stated most municipal offices were closed. He stated the Town's Building Department was asked for any violations on the property, they had no way to know this illegal structure had been built. He stated the fact that Mr. John Dunford was the former Town Supervisor is irrelevant. He stated when the illegal structure was built Mr. Dunford did not rat out his neighbor. He stated he views this is matter as a "Home Rule". He stated Legislator Nacerino should understand that having been a former Councilwoman in the Town of Patterson. He stated the bi-partisan Southeast Town Board and a former Democratic Councilwoman of the Town of Southeast oppose the approval of the Pro Brothers Farm due to the violations that are in place today. He stated at the time the Town of Southeast Building Department was asked about the property they were not aware of the illegal structure. He stated Mr. Provetto may have truly believed he did not need a permit. He stated the Town Code could not be clearer. He stated there is a violation on the property, there is a resolution, passed by the Legislature that states any property with a violation will not be approved for inclusion into the Putnam County Agricultural District. He

stated there is a property in the Town of Putnam Valley that gets denied, every year, since he has been a Legislator, because of the violations. He stated every year the Town of Putnam Valley Supervisor speaks out against a consideration for approval.

Legislator Castellano stated he would like to review some of the details again related to this matter. He stated we are living and working in strange times dealing with COVID-19. He stated the government offices were not working at full force, maybe something was missed as a result of that. He stated the Pro Brothers property was purchased in 2013, it is 1.3 acres in a residential zone, the owners in 2019 went to a Town Planning Board Work Session. He stated they were told at that time that they did not need a permit for the Garden Farm that they had in their backyard, as many residents in Putnam County have. He stated his understanding after speaking to some of the Town Board members is that the property owner was told to seek a variance for his potential Hoop House structure/Greenhouse. He stated that he sat on the Town of Southeast Zoning Board for eight (8) years. He stated there were many applications for storage sheds in excess of 10x10. He stated he knows full well the Town of Southeast has regulation if you are going to build a structure like this you do need to get a variance. He stated he was further informed that Mr. Provetto was told to seek a variance and if he did want to become a Farm, he would need to seek a special use permit from the Town Board. He stated there may have been a misunderstanding and the COVID-19 is bringing with it some obstacles and challenges to conducting business. He stated the bi-partisan Town Board of Southeast unanimously agrees this is not a good idea. He stated the Legislature is not respecting Home Rule for the Town of Southeast. He stated there is a violation and a former Town Board member who sits on the Planning Board agrees this parcel should not be approved. He stated he believes the wishes of the elected officials of the Town should be respected and not approve the application of Pro Brothers at this time. He stated he respects the AFPB and the work they do. He stated but again COVID -19 has created tough times. He stated he does not see that this needs to be rushed through. He stated if this parcel, consisting of 1.3 acres, were approved it would be the smallest farm ever approved.

Legislator Nacerino restated her position. She stated she sees the COVID-19 card being played here. She stated the fact remains the Town was notified well in advance to review the property. She stated although the parcel is located in the Town of Southeast, the decision lays with this Legislature. She stated she does not agree this is a Home Rule matter. She stated it is her opinion that the Legislature is setting a bad precedent when we deny our own process. She requested that her colleagues do the right thing for the right reasons, because that is what we are elected to do.

Chairman Albano stated at the July 7th Full Legislative Meeting the applicant, the Pro Brothers Farm, seemed to be running a small-scale produce production, which he believes would qualify for inclusion in the PCAD. He stated at that time he was prepared to vote in support of their

inclusion, based on the information in front of him at that time. He stated back in May Mr. Levine, the Town's Building Inspector indicated there were no violations. He stated that is a key consideration for him and the property met all the other criteria. He stated he does not see the size of the property as being an issue as there are not restrictions at this time. He stated for the record he believes that there should be an evaluation and consideration of addressing that, especially since they can grow and change. He stated at this time a violation does exist, so for that reason he will probably be voting no, not in favor of the applicant's inclusion into the PCAD. He stated he hopes the applicant will resolve the town issues and reapply.

Legislator Montgomery stated this vote is a challenge for her. She stated that she values Lauri Taylor and the AFPB and Cornell Cooperative, and all that the Town Board Members of Southeast have to say. She stated her biggest concern is protecting the community. She stated as a Councilperson she spent a lot of time mediating between local neighbors and their disputes. She suggested and questioned if an extension can be requested in filing this. She stated that she still believes the process of the Public Hearing, July 1st, failed the public and it leaves us very vulnerable.

Legislator Nacerino stated she encourages every Legislator to take a site walk before the August Full Meeting.

Chairman Albano stated a motion is necessary to approve this item and move it to the August Full Meeting.

Legislator Nacerino made a motion to approve moving the 2020 Agricultural Inclusion Recommendation – Pro Brothers Farm, Town of Southeast to the August Full Meeting; Seconded by Legislator Gouldman.

Roll Call Vote:

Legislator Nacerino: Aye

Legislator Gouldman: Aye

Chairman Albano: Nay

Motion Carries

Item #5 - Approval/ Sale of County Owned Property, 20 Fair Street, Carmel, NY (TM# 44.18-1-17) ("White House")/ First Deputy County Attorney Andrew Negro

Legislator Sullivan stated he believes it is important for the County to look to get rid of properties that are no longer needed and get them back on the tax roll. He stated the properties have good value. He stated considering the tough economic times we are facing he is in favor of this proposal. He stated government are not businesses and should not hold onto assets for value.

He stated if there is no need for the properties they should be liquidated and downsize. He stated he believes this property will sell quickly once on the market, there is demand for it.

Legislator Nacerino stated that she echoes Legislator Sullivan's comments. She stated the consideration of this action as been discussed and debated for many years. She stated she agrees this is the right time to market it.

Legislator Gouldman questioned why this is being considered now when it was not in the past.

Chairman Albano explained the property was not a separate parcel, it was part of the County Campus. He stated so there was not a way to convey title. He stated a surveyor was hired and the property lines have been set which made it a separate parcel, and it is now salable.

Chairman Albano made a motion to approve Sale of County Property, 20 Fair Street, Carmel, NY (TM# 44.18-1-17) ("White House"); Seconded by Legislator Nacerino. All in favor.

Item #6 - Approval/ Lease Agreement/ Building #2 at Tilly Foster Farm/ First Deputy County Attorney Andrew Negro

Chairman Albano requested that First Deputy County Attorney Negro speak to this matter

First Deputy County Attorney Negro stated this is one of the residential structures on the Tilly Foster Farm Property. He stated it is presently unoccupied and not needed for any County purpose. He stated the Administration has negotiated with the potential renters. He stated the husband of the potential renters is the chef at the Tilly's Table restaurant. He stated the lease is an "at-will" agreement. He stated it provides flexibility in case anything changes in respects to proposed need in the future. He stated the rent is fair market value and to his understanding would be a good use of a vacant building. He stated the Legislature's approval is required pursuant to Chapter 31 of the Putnam County Code.

Legislator Gouldman questioned if any work needs to be done, before it is occupied.

Chairman Albano stated the premise is in move in condition.

Legislator Nacerino questioned was this rental marketed, and if so how.

Chairman Albano stated he believes the need for a rental was identified, and it made sense to have a renter on the Tilly Foster property who also works there.

First Deputy County Attorney Negro stated the lease is for fair market value and there is monetary consideration being paid. He stated it is unlike previous situations; it is not work in exchange for rental space. He stated additionally, under Chapter 31, unlike with the sale of properties, there is no specific requirement that the properties be advertised through a real estate broker before they are leased.

Legislator Montgomery questioned being that the County self-inspects, does the County know the maximum occupancy is for this rental. She questioned if a certificate of occupancy is needed.

First Deputy County Attorney Negro stated he is not aware of any issues with respect to the property lacking the necessary certificates of occupancy.

Legislator Montgomery questioned if the premise has been inspected for liability for hosting minor tenants, for an example lead or any other environmental issues. She stated this is an old house.

First Deputy County Attorney Negro stated questions with respect to the condition of the premises are not legal in nature and best answered by the Highways & Facilities Department. He stated he can report that a prior tenant did have children in said premise. He stated to his knowledge there were no notices to the County of unsafe or uninhabitable conditions at the premises.

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully stated generally speaking this premise has been inspected a number of times. He stated it was part of one of the phases of the renovations. He stated several improvements were made to the ingress and egress, plumbing, electric and utilities to the building. He stated the previous tenants with children had no complaints.

Legislator Montgomery questioned if this was the residence that the caretaker, Lou Albano, occupied.

Chairman Albano stated it was the residence that Lou Albano occupied. He stated the original caretaker occupied a premise located in the front.

Legislator Montgomery stated the County is acting as a residential landlord on behalf of the people of Putnam County. She stated she wants to understand that we have identified and mitigated any risks and are abiding by the Health and Building Codes of the State of New York and the County's. She stated she does not understand why the County needs to be a residential landlord at this point. She stated she understands we want the revenue, but she believes we are

opening a can of worms, becoming residential landlords, especially to tenants with children, in an older structure. She stated that she believes the proposed lease is a good deal for the County, she stated she would not sign it if she were the tenant.

Chairman Albano stated there are a few of these residential houses on the Tilly Foster Farm property. He stated if Legislator Montgomery has any commercial ideas for these properties, please let them be known. He stated right now it makes sense and seems logical to him to have a renter who also works on the property.

Chairman Albano made a motion to approve Lease Agreement/ Building #2 at Tilly Foster Farm; Legislator Gouldman. All in favor.

Item #7 - Update/ Article 9 Work Throughout County Facilities/ Chairman of Physical Carl Albano

Chairman Albano made a motion to waive the rules and accept the additional; Seconded by Legislator Gouldman. All in favor.

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully stated they today submitted as additional an Article 9 Project status as of July 13, 2020. He stated that Alexis Hawley, Assistant Supervisor of Planning & Design, is on the audio webinar. He stated she will provide a review of the schedule status and how things are progressing.

Assistant Supervisor of Planning & Design Alexis Hawley stated there are 26 energy conservation measures being undertaken in the Article 9 Project. She stated notice to proceed was granted late last year/ early this year with the expectation that the measures would be completed within 18 months. She stated AMERESCO is ahead of schedule. She stated they are projecting to have all work completed by the end of 2020.

Chairman Albano stated he is glad to see the County going in this direction of energy conservation. He stated in addition to the environmental benefits this work will save the County money in the long term, improvements that will effectuate positive results and conserve energy.

Assistant Supervisor of Planning & Design Alexis Hawley stated after the Legislators have reviewed Ameresco's July 15, 2020 Status Update, which was provided as additional, she is available to answer any questions.

Item #8 - Update/ Maintenance of Putnam County Building - 34 Gleneida/ Chairman of Physical Carl Albano

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully questioned if there are specific updates Chairman Albano is requesting.

Chairman Albano stated that there is peeling paint, the top of the building could use some vinyl siding, general maintenance on the outside of the building is needed. He understands the County is considering what properties we need and do not need. He stated moving into the future at the very least the outside of this County building should be presentable. He stated currently it needs some work.

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully stated they will take a close look at that.

Chairman Albano stated additionally it is located in the center of town.

Item #9- Update/ Maintenance on Lake Gleneida/ Chairman of Physical Carl Albano

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully stated since the last meeting when an update was provided by Commissioner Pena and Parks Superintendent Ruthven the County has started back up on the maintenance on the lake shore. He stated that next week the County's Tree Crew will bring the chipper to assist the Parks Crew with the removal of marked trees from Reed Library heading towards Mahopac. He stated the DEP (Department of Environmental Protection) has only marked to the end of the lawn at this time. He stated they will continue to work with the DEP to improve the Lake Gleneida Shoreline, the best they can.

Chairman Albano questioned if the DEP extended the time frame in which the work can be done.

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully stated the DEP has extended it, he believes that is how it was approved to have the County Crews out there next week.

Chairman Albano stated he is aware that the DEP will be testing the water in relation to the Coronavirus. He stated he believes they would like better visibility and access to the lake for monitoring purposes. He stated he has many residents who contact him regarding the maintenance of the shoreline. He is very happy to hear that there is progress being made.

Deputy Commissioner of Highways and Facilities John Tully thanked Chairman Albano, the Legislature and County Executive for their support with this work.

Item #10 - Other Business - None

Item #11 - Adjournment

There being no further business at 7:05PM Chairman Albano made a motion to adjourn;
Seconded by Legislator Nacerino. All in favor.

Respectfully submitted by Deputy Clerk Diane Trabulsy.